

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/945,125	FRATER, MARK S.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Michael J Feely	1712	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Michael J Feely.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John P. O'Banion (Reg. No. 33,201).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

9,10,15,41-43,46-50

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On January 30, 2004, the Examiner sent a fax to Mr. O'Banion including a proposed Examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance. The changes addressed minor informalities and wording inconsistencies. After reviewing the proposal, Mr. O'Banion followed-up on February 3, 2004, approving the proposed changes. A copy of the fax is attached.